Review

Effect of biochar on soil structure – review

Martin Juriga*, Vladimír Šimanský Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra, Slovak Republic

Article Details: Received: 2018-02-08 | Accepted: 2018-02-21 | Available online: 2018-03-31

https://doi.org/10.15414/afz.2018.21.01.11-19

Soil structure and organic matter are important indicators of soil quality. In the literature it states that there is a linear relation between soil structure and the organic matter. Mechanisms of formation and stabilization of aggregates have also been described in the literature, but it is evident that not every mechanism is applicable to various soil-climatic conditions. Recently, the modern but not the new term has become a biochar. It is anticipated that biochar is a significant source of C, and its application to the soil will improve the aggregation process in the soil. Lately we have been working in this area and we wanted to provide an overview of this issue through this review. The aim of this review was to collate and synthesize available information on soil structure and SOM. The emphasis of this review is on biochar and its combination with other organic and mineral fertilizers in relation to soil structure.

Keywords: biochar, soil organic matter, aggregation, aggregate stability

1 Introduction

Soil structure is a main soil property since it regulates soil water content, aeration and temperature of soils (Neira et al., 2015). Soil structure also positively influences plant germination and root growth. Therefore, assessing soil structure is an important issue in a determining soil quality (Ball and Munkholm, 2015).

Soil structure is usually defined as the spatial arrangement of soil particles and soil voids (i. e. soil pores), which may also be defined as the spatial distribution of soil properties. Soil structure includes the physical habitat of soil living organisms, and controls many important physical, chemical and biological soil functions and associated ecosystem services (Dexter, 1988). However, soil structure is more than only the physical arrangement of particles and pores and includes structural stability (i. e. the ability to resist endogenous factors or stresses) and structural resilience (i. e. the ability of recover upon stress removal) (Kay and Angers, 2001). Soil aggregation is responsible for soil structure and it is fundamental for soil to function as well as agricultural productivity.

Soil aggregates are secondary particles formed through the combination of mineral particles with organic and inorganic substances. Formation of soil aggregates as basic unit of soil structure is a function of physical forming forces (such as: inter and intramolecular forces, electrostatic, and gravitational forces) between soil particles (Grosbellet et al., 2011; Li and Fan, 2014; Hu et al., 2015) however, its stabilization is influenced by internal and external factors, and their interactions (Chenu and Cosentino, 2011; Paradelo et al., 2013; Šimanský et al., 2013; Jozefaciuk and Czachor, 2014; Šimanský and Bajčan, 2014).

Soil organic matter (SOM) also plays an important part in controlling soil quality and resilience because of the fundamental role it plays in determining a wide range of soil properties including buffering capacity, microbial biodiversity, water retention, and structural stabilization (Szombathová 1999; Šimanský et al., 2013; Šimanský and Polláková, 2016). For instance, humic substances (part of SOM) control buffering, cation exchange and water retention capacity of soils (Šimanský and Polláková, 2014), as well as the formation and stabilization of waterstable aggregates (Wang and Xing, 2005; Šimanský et al., 2013; Polláková et al., 2017). SOM is the major determinant and indicator of soil fertility and quality, and is closely related to soil productivity (Huang et al., 2007). Soil organic carbon (SOC) is an important agent responsible for binding soil mineral particles together creating an aggregate hierarchy (Oades and Waters, 1991). The dynamics of aggregate formation seem to be closely linked with soil organic carbon storage in soils (Golchin et al., 1997). Agro-technical operations and environmental changes modify the content and turnover of SOC. Intensive cultivation practices can stimulate

^{*}Corresponding Author: Martin Juriga, Slovak University of Agriculture, Faculty of Agrobiology and Food Resources, Department of Genetics and Animal Breeding Biology, Tr. Andreja Hlinku 2, 979 76 Nitra, Slovak Republic, e-mail: juriga.martin@zoznam.sk

biodegradation of the initially physically protected carbon in soil, and hence it could be responsible for the decrease of SOC (Norton et al., 2012; Khorramdel et al., 2013; Šimanský, 2017). Organic fertilizers, such as farmyard manure, compost, crop residues and others are often the most important sources of organic compounds in systems with continuous removal of organic crop residue. The last two decades have been characterized by a continuous decrease of livestock population, which had effect on decreasing availability of organic fertilizers and mainly production of perennial fodder for livestock (significant source of organic matter). At the same time, environmental and regulatory constraints have driven arable agriculture towards lower-input soil management, highlighting the need to maintain optimal soil function and a favourable balance of organic compounds in the soil. The same situation is in the Slovak republic. At present, from the point of view of the need for organic substances a 30-50% deficit is estimated (Green Report, 2014). From the point of view of sustainable land management, the balanced equilibrium of organic substances is essential and so new resources must be sought. One of the possible and innovative solutions can be the application of biochar.

Under these circumstances, the aim of this review is gather and synthesize available information on soil structure and SOM. The emphasis of this review is on biochar and its combination with other organic and mineral fertilizers in relation in soil structure.

2 Biochar as potential tool for improve agronomic practice

Biochar is a solid, carbon-rich product of thermal decomposition of organic matter, called pyrolysis, at a temperature higher than 400 °C and usually lower than 900 °C under conditions of oxygen deficit (Ahmad et al., 2014; Lehmann and Joseph, 2009). It is produced for environmental or agricultural application. Biochar is composed mainly of aromatic molecules that are not organised in ideally adherent layers (Hussain et al., 2016; Lehmann and Joseph, 2009). The structure and properties of biochar are in close relation to the conditions of pyrolysis (temperature and time of heat action). With increasing temperatures of pyrolysis, the content of carbon in biochar increases, while its content of hydrogen and oxygen decreases. Within the range from 400 to 700 °C an increase in pyrolysis temperature leads to higher aromaticity and hydrophobicity of biochar as well as higher volume of pores and specific surface area (Ahmad et al., 2014; Lehmann and Joseph, 2009). Various types of biomass can be used as feedstock for biochar production, such as wastes from wood processing, municipal wastes, sewage sludge, wastes from animal

breeding and agricultural production (Hussain et al., 2016; Inyang et al., 2016; Stefaniuk and Oleszczuk, 2015; Usman et al., 2015; Zielińska et al., 2015).

The kind of matter used as feedstock has also an effect on properties of biochar. Therefore, is of the utmost necessity to consider the choosing of starting feedstock, according to the purpose to witch the biochar is to be used for. For example, biochar produced from animal manures usually has smaller specific surface area, than biochar which has been produced from wood and plant mass (Ahmad et al., 2014; Zielinska et al., 2015). Biochar has become the main focus of research in the recent past. The results of the experiments showed that application of biochar can be a sustainable way of improving physical, chemical, and biological properties. For example, up to now, there have been several studies published (Laghari et al., 2015; Agegnehu et al., 2016; Šimanský, 2016; Šimanský et al., 2016; Šimanský et al., 2017; Šimanský et al., 2017a) where authors concluded that biochar increased SOC in the soils due to its unique properties and structure which can potentially increase carbon sequestration (Lehmann and Joseph 2009; Šimanský et al., 2017a). In addition, the highly porous structure and large surface area of biochar (Figure 1) provide refuge for beneficial soil microorganisms such as mycorrhiza or bacteria (Pietikainen et al., 2000). This would be positive on microbial processes involved in nutrient cycling, decomposition of organic matter, and greenhouse gas emission (Pietikainen et al., 2000; Grossman et al., 2010; Deal et al., 2012). Among other aspects, it allows the carbon dioxide immobilization in soil and, in consequence, a reduction of its emission to the atmosphere (Conte, 2014; Horák and Šimanský, 2017). In experiments with biochars produced from biomass obtained from areas contaminated with heavy metals no elevated levels of any potentially toxic element (e.g. Cd or Pb) were noted (Evangelou et al., 2014). Another positive effect of the addition of biochar to soil to which various pesticides were applied was demonstrated by Oleszczuk et al. (2014). Biochar can also improve nutrient absorption, cation exchange capacity (Zwieten et al., 2010) sorptive parameters, mainly sorpion of soil organic matter (Šimanský et al., 2017) and increase of soil pH mainly in acidic soils (Karami et al., 2011; Horák et al., 2017). Rajkovich et al. (2012) refers that the biochar ash contains nutrients including base cations such as Ca and Mg causing a positive effect on the values of the degree of sorption complex saturation by base cations. Other studies found that abiotic changes after biochar addition take a short-time, with abiotic effects such as enhanced nitrogen or potassium availability disappearing after a single growth season, while the effects on functions such as plant productivity remained enhanced, suggesting that microbial changes rather than abiotic changes could

Soil organic matter	Biochar is source of stabile organic matter and increase of C sequestration provide refuge for beneficial soil microorganisms such as mycrorrhiza or bacteria	Fischer and Glaser 2012; Pietikainen et al., 2000; Šimanský et al., 2016; Agegnehu et al.,2016; Horák and Šimanský, 2016
Greenhouse gasses	Reduce N ₂ O and CO ₂	Horák et al., 2017; Horák and Šimanský, 2017;
Water retention characteristics	Improve soil matter regimes and available plant water content	Abel et al., 2013; Abrol et al., 2016; Novak et al., 2012
Cation exchange capacity	Improve sorptive properties of soils	Šimanský et al., 2017; Rajkovich et al., 2012
рН	Neutralization of acid soil	Karami et al., 2011; Horák, 2015; Horák et al., 2017
Porosity	Improve pore size distribution and pore continuity	Obia et al., 2016
Bulk density	Increase of bulk density	Ajayi and Horn, 2016; Mukherjee and Lal, 2013
Soil structure	Improve aggregation processes and increase of aggregate stability	Cornelissen et al., 2013; Herath et al., 2013; Šimanský, 2016

Table 1 Benefits of biochar application (Revie
--

have led to the persistent effects of biochar addition (Mukherjee et al., 2014; Oram et al., 2014). Reviews of biochar effects on plant growth reported overall positive effects (Biederman and Harpole, 2013), but also show that there is considerable variability between studies, and that several studies showed negative effects (Liu et al., 2017; Hansen et al., 2017). In Table 1 are summarized some benefits of biochar application to the soils according to our available knowledge. Benefits of biochar as a soil amendment may vary with its properties, time after its application, and in relation to soil texture and mineralogy (Butman et al., 2015).

2.1 Effect of pure biochar on soil structure

Generally, there are several mechanisms of aggregation such as: hierarchical theory of aggregation (Edwards and Bremner, 1967), the concentric theory (Santos et al., 1997), the precipitation of hydroxides, oxides, phosphates and carbonates enhances aggregation (Bronick and Lal, 2005), cations also form bridges between clay and SOM particles resulting in aggregation (Jankowski, 2013) or it is possible that aggregates form through a combination of these processes (Bronick and Lal, 2005). All these mechanisms can be responsible for the formation and stabilization of soil structure after application of biochar to the soil. Applied biochar can be joined with mineral particles in the soil (Figure 2) or can be part of the soil aggregates (Figure 3 A, B). Biochar contains base cations (Rajkovich et al., 2012), which can be joined by the means of cationic bridges with clay and organic particles (Bronicki and Lal, 2005) and thus creating a favorable soil structure condition. Multivalent ions associated with biochar may have a positive effect through interactions with negative charged surface functional groups on SOM (e.g., R-COO-) and soil minerals (e.g., Al-O-, Si-O-)

(Mukome et al., 2013). The bridging effects of multivalent ions, such as Fe³⁺ can enhance sorption of SOM to clay minerals (Feng et al., 2005). Other mechanism can be explained also through base cations, which can act as a bond between the mineral particles of the soil and the biochar particles (Lin et al., 2012 Joseph et al., 2013). Biochar in soil occurs not only as free particles, but these particles can also be connected with waterstable aggregates (Brodowski et al., 2006) but the effects on individual fractions of aggregates can differ, as indicated in study of Šimanský et al. (2016). For example, biochar (10 t ha-1) applied without N fertilizer increased content of water-stable macro-aggregates (WSA_{ma}) in size fraction 5-2 mm, but at the same time decreased WSA_{ma} 0.5-0.25 mm content. Application of biochar (20 t ha⁻¹) had no remarkable influence on the content of WSA_{ma}. Adding lower amounts of biochar may thus be more beneficial for soil aggregation than higher rates of biochar addition. Secondly, aggregation effects mainly on lower size of aggregates depend on biochar particles. For example, conversion to WSA_{ma} 0.5-0.25 mm might therefore be difficult and could occur only after some time. According to Piccolo and Mbagwu (1999) hydrophobic components of organic matter contribute more to soil aggregate stability than hydrophilic components. Through, it's highly aromatic C structure, biochar can improve aggregation by helping to bind native SOM, enhancing the resistance of soil aggregates to water and making aggregates more resistant to physical disturbance (e.g., wet-dry cycles). Biochar can also influence soil aggregation by change of the ionic composition of the soil solution. The surface of biochar particles after oxidation may by rich for hydroxyl and carboxylic groups which are able to adsorb soil particles and clays and form macro-aggregates (Jien and Wang, 2013) however, this process is tedious and it takes long time. Several mechanisms may be involved in the biochar-induced improvements in soil aggregation. Previous research indicates that biochar can influence soil aggregation by changes of soil pH and enhance aromaticity of soil organic C pool (Chan et al., 2008; Novak et al., 2009). Higher soil pH can increase the flocculation of clay particles (Haynes and Naidu, 1998), it facilitating the formation of water-stable aggregates (Boix-Fayos et al., 2001). A slight increase of soil pH due to biochar amendment can benefit soil aggregation (Kookana et al., 2011). Biochar can also significantly affect on soil microbial communities by providing feedstock for production of extracellular polymeric substances that there are as cementing agents for soil aggregates (Le Guillou et al., 2012). Earthworms can affect aggregate stability in soil (1) mechanical bondings between soil and biochar particles, (2) mechanism in increase of fungi which is induced of excreted casts. The fungal hyphae



Figure 1 Porous structure and large surface area of biochar (Authors)





Figure 3 Biochar incorporated into soil aggregate (Authors)



Figure 2 Biochar can join mineral particles in the soil (Jien and Wang, 2013)



Figure 4 Fungal hyphae improve aggregation between biochar and soil particles (Jien and Wang, 2013)

particles, while soil fungi are active upon connecting to larger particles (Figure 4).

The different effects might be a result of differences in the biochar reactivity (i.e., amount of reactive functional groups) that strongly depends on production conditions and feedstock (Keiluweit et al., 2010) but also a length of time (length of contact between biochar and soil particles). Significant effect of biochar on soil aggregation is associated with applied doses of biochar and size particles of biochar (Šimanský et al., 2016).

2.2 Effect of biochar combined with other organic or mineral fertilizers on soil structure

One of the problems with the use of organic residues such as composts, manures, crop residues, which are added to soil for C sequestration is their relatively fast rate of degradation, leading to the release of carbondioxide, thereby becoming a source for greenhouse gas emission. Therefore, there have been increasing interests in the conversion of organic residues into biochars in order to reduce the rate of decomposition, which enhances C sequestration in soils (Kookana et al., 2011). Biochar is emerging as an attractive option to improve the efficiency of fertilizer use (Zhang et al., 2010). Biochar, due to its mostly inert nature, is often applied to soils in conjunction with organic or mineral fertilizers (Asai et al., 2009; Laird et al., 2010). The reasons for higher aggregate stability could be explained by the application of higher rates of biochar together with nitrogen fertilizer. Application of fertilizers generally improve soil aggregation (Munkholm et al., 2002). Added nitrogen to the soil can increase the processes of biochar mineralization and the result can be also a higher aggregation (Bronic and Lal, 2005; Šimanský et al., 2016). Despite beneficial properties of biochar, in most intensively managed agro-ecosystems, biochar is usually applied in combination with chemical fertilizers due to its low N, P, and K contents (Lima and Marshall 2005; Chan et al., 2007). In study of Šimanský et al. (2016), the application of N fertilizer together with biochar had a positive effect on the incorporation of biochar into the larger aggregates. In case of B20N80 treatment (20 t biochar ha⁻¹ and 80 kg N ha⁻¹), the values of WSA_{ma} in the size fractions 3-2 mm (75%) and 5-3 mm (149%) were higher, while the size fraction of 0.5-0.25 mm (27%) was lower than in B20N0 (20 t biochar ha^{-1} + no nitrogen). Considerably lower content of WSA_{ma} 5-2 mm was observed in B10N80 (10 t biochar ha-1 and 80 kg N ha⁻¹). Adding nitrogen to the soil can improve microbial activity (Lehmann et al., 2011), increase the intensity of the biochar mineralization processes and increase CEC and active surface area (Yeboah et al., 2009), which results in higher aggregation (Bronic and Lal, 2005). The

reduction of the addition of the chemical fertilizer rate may depend on the surface oxidation of biochar through changes in pH, oxidative state, or microbial community structure (Liu et al., 2011). According to Ma et al. (2015) both the NPK fertilizer + maize straw and NPK + biochar treatment significantly increased the relative proportion of macro-aggregates (>2 mm) and the mean weight diameter, and reduced the relative proportion of microaggregates (<0.25 mm). The higher proportion of macroaggregates was recorded in the NPK + biochar treatment; the proportion of >2 mm aggregates was 15% higher than in the NPK + straw treatments, respectively. Compared with the NPK treatment, both the NPK + maize straw and NPK + biochar treatments significantly improved the stability of soil aggregates. When biochar is applied together with green manure as e.g. Tithonia diversifolia, there is likely a higher amount of microbial community and their activity (Li et al., 2012) and at the same production of metabolites which, through a variety of bonding mechanisms, can contribute to aggregate build. On the other hand, the biochar produced with the combination of wood and straw had no effect on aggregate stability (Annabi et al., 2007). The combined application of biochar and slurry might be a way to increase the biochar reactivity and, consequently, the ability to form macro-aggregates because slurry contains reactive compounds such as organic acids (Provenzano et al., 2014). The combined application of biochar and slurry led to lower aggregate yields than the solitary application of slurry. However, interactions between biochar and mineral soil particles were already found shortly after the application in both the incubations and in the field trial, leading to an increase of aggregate-occluded and thus protected soil organic carbon, almost in combination with a slurry application (Helfrich et al., 2008; Le Guillou et al., 2012).

3 Conclusion

In recent years, research activity on the use of biochars in soils has been increased and this trend is likely to continue over the next years due to the numerous potential benefits which we mentioned in this review and on the other hand risks associated with the use of biochars alone or in combination with other organic or mineral fertilizers. Due to the inherent complexity of biochar, soil properties, and cropping system, the effect of biochar on soil aggregate may be very different and the knowledge gap with respect to biochar follow from various crop residue feedstocks on dynamic of SOC and soil aggregate stability is very large. Soil aggregate stability can be changed based on biochar amendment in some cases depending on soil and biochar type but also a length of time (length of contact between biochar and soil particles) as well as applied doses of biochar and size particles of biochar. It is still unclear how combining biochar with N fertilization affects soil structure, but the major responsible factors include particle-size distribution of studied soils application rate of biochar, time after biochar application.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by Slovak Grant Agency VEGA, No. 1/0136/17.

References

ABEL, S. et al. (2013) Impact of biochar and hydrochar addition on water retention and water repellency of sandy soil. In *Geoderma.*, vol. 202–203, pp. 183–191. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2013.03.003

ABROL, V. et al. (2016) Biochar effects on soil water infiltration and erosion under seal formation conditions: rainfall simulation experiment. In *Journal of Soil and Sediments*, vol. 16, pp. 2709– 2719. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-016-1448-8

AGEGNEHU, G. et al. (2016) Benefits of biochar, compost and biochar-compost for soil quality, maize yield and greenhouse gas emission in a tropical agricultural soil. In *Science on The Total Environment*, vol. 543, pp. 295–306. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitoenv.2015.11.054

AHMAD, M. et al. (2014) Biochar as a sorbent for contaminant management in soil and water: A review. In *Chemosphere*, vol. 99, pp. 19–33. DOI: https://doi.org/j.chemosphere.2013.10.071

AJAYI, A. E. and HORN, R. (2016) Modification of chemical and hydrophysical properties of two texturally differentiated soils due to varying magnitudes of added biochar. In *Soil and Tillage Research*, vol. 164, pp. 34–44. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.still.2016.01.011

ANNABI, Met al. (2007) Soil aggregate stability improvement with urban compost of different maturities. In *American Society of Agronomy*, vol. 71, pp. 413–423. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2006.0161

ASAI, H. et al. (2009) Biochar amendment techniques for umpand rice production in Northern Leos: 1. Soil physical properties, leaf SPAD and grain yield. In *Field Crop Research*, vol. 111., pp. 81–84. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.for.2008.10.008

BALL, B. C. and MUKHOLM, L. J. (2015) Visual soil evaluation: Releasing potential crop production with minimum environmental impact. In USA: CABI, Walingford, 2015. 172 p. ISBN 978780644707

BIEDERMAN, L. A. and HARPOLE, W. S. (2013) Biochar and its effect on plant productivity and nutrient cycling: A Metaanalysis. In *Bioenergy*, vol. 5, pp. 202–214. DOI: https://dx.doi. org/10.1111/gcbb.12037

BOIX-FAYOS, C. et al. (2001) Influence soil properties on the aggregation of some Mediterranean soils and the use of aggregate size and stability as land degradation indicators. In *Catena*, vol. 44, pp. 47–67. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0341-8162(00)00176-4

BRODOWSKI, S. et al. (2006) Aggregate – occluded black carbon in soil. In *European Journal of Soil Science*, vol. 57, pp. 539–546. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2006.00807.x

BRONICK, C. J. and LAL, R. (2005) Soil structure and management: a review. In *Geoderma.*, vol. 124, pp. 3–22. DOI: https://doi.org/10,1016/j.geoderma.2004.03.005

BUTMAN, D. E. et al. (2015) Increased mobilization of aged carbon to rivers by human disturbance. In *Nature Geoscience*, vol. 8, pp. 112–116. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/hgeo2322

CONTE, P. (2014) Biochar, soil fertility, and environment. In *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, vol. 50, pp. 1175–1175. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/S00374

CORNELISSEN, G. et al. (2013) Biochar effect on maize yeld and soil characteristics in five conservation farming sites in Zambia. In *Agronomy*, vol. 3, pp. 256–274. DOI: https://doi. org/10.3390/agronomy3020256

DEAL, CH. et al. (2012) Comparison of klin-derived and gasiefier-derived biochars as soil amendmets in the humid tropics. In *Biomass and Bioenergy*, vol. 37, pp. 161–168. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j biombie.2011.12.017

DEXTER, A. R. (1988) Advances in characterization of soil structure. In *Soil and Tillage Research*, vol. 11, pp. 199–238. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-1987(88)90002-5

EDWARDS, A. P. and BREMNER, J. M. (1967) Microaggregates in soils. In *European Journal of Soil Science*, vol. 18, pp. 64–73.

EVANGELOU, M. et al. (2014) Soil application of biochar produced from biomass grown on trace element contamined land. In *Journal of Environmental Management*, vol. 146, pp. 100–106. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envman.2014.07.046

FENG, X. (2005) Chemical and mineralogical control on humic acid sorption to clay mineral surfaces. In *Organic Geochemistry*, vol. 36, pp. 1553–1566. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1016/org.geochem.2005.06.006

FISCHER, D. and GLASER, B. (2012) Synergisms between compost and biochar for sustainable. In KUMAR, Š. *Managment of organic waste*. In Tech China, 198 p. ISBN 978-953-307-925-7.

GOLCHIN, A. et al. (1997) The effects of vegetation and burning on the chemical composition of soil organic matter in a volcanic ash soil as shown by ¹³CNMR spectroscopy. I. Whole soil and humic acid fraction. In *Geoderma*, vol. 76, pp. 155–174. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7061(96)00104-8

GREEN REPORT (2014). *Green Report for 2013*. Bratislava: Národné poľnohospodárske a potravinárke centrum, 2014. 65 s. ISBN 978.80-8058-597-6.

GROSBELLET, G. et al. (2011) Improvement of soil structure formation by degradation of coarse organic matter. In *Geoderma*, vol. 162, pp. 27–38. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. geoderma.2011.01.003

GROSSMAN, J. M. et al. (2010) Amazonian anthrosols support similar microbial communities that differ distinctly from those extant in adjucent, unmodifield soils of the same mineralogy. In *Microbial Ecology*, vol. 60, pp. 192–205. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/S00248-010-989-3

GUILLOU, C et al. (2012) Linking microbial community to soil water-stable aggregation during crop residue decomposition. In *Soil Biolog and Biochemistry*, vol. 50, pp. 120–133. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soil/bio.2012.03.009

HANSEN, V. et al. (2017) The effects of straw or strawderived gasification biochar applications on soil quality and crop productivity. A farm case study. In *Journal of Environmental Management*, vol. 186, pp. 88–95. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jenvman.2016.10.041

HAYNES, R. J. and NAIDU, R. (1998) Influence of lime, fertilizer and applications on soil organic matter content and soil physical condition: a review. In *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, vol. 51, pp. 123–137.

HEARTH, H. M. S. K. et al. (2013) Effect of biochar on soil physical properties in two contrasting soils: An Alfisol and Andisol. In *Geoderma*, vol. 209–210, pp. 188–197. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma. 2013.06.016

HELFRICH, M. et al. (2008) Effect of litter quality and soil fungi on macroaggregate dynamics and associated partitationig of litter carbon and nitrogen. In *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, vol. 40, pp. 1823–1834. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.03.006

HORÁK, J. (2015) Testing biochar as a possible way to ameliorate slightly acidic soil at the research field located in the Danubian Lowland. In *Acta Horticulturae et Regiotecturae*, vol. 18, pp. 20 – 24. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1515/ahr-2015-0005

HORÁK, J. and ŠIMANSKÝ, V. (2016) Effect of biochar and biochar combined with N-fertilizer on soil organic content. In *Agriculture*, vol. 62, pp. 155–158. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1515/aqri-2016-0016

HORÁK, J. and ŠIMANSKÝ, V. (2017) Effect of biochar on soil CO_2 production. In *Acta fytochenica et zootechnica*, vol. 20, pp. 72–77.

HORÁK, J. et al. (2017) Biochar and biochar with N fertilizer affect soil N₂O emission in Halpic Luvisol . In *Biologia*, vol. 72, pp. 995–1001. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1515/biolog-2017-0109

HU, F. et al. (2015) Particles infiltration forces and their effects on soil aggregates breakdown. In *Soil and Tillage Research*, vol. 147, pp. 1–9. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.014.11.006

HUANG, B. et al. (2007) Temporal and spatial variability of soil organic matter and total nitrogen in an agricultural ecosystem as affected by farming practices. In *Geoderma*, vol. 139, pp. 336–345. DOI: https://doi.org/j.geoderma.2007.02.012

HUSSIAN, M. et al. (2016) Biochar for crop production: potential benefits and risks. In *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, vol. 17, pp. 685–716. DOI: https://doi.org/10,1007/s11368-016-1360-2

CHAN, K. Y. et al. (2007) Agronomic values of green waste biochar as a soil amendment. In *Australian Journal of Soil Research*, vol. 45, pp. 629–634.

CHAN, K. Y. et al. (2008) Using poultry litter biochars as soil amendments . In *Australian Journal of Soil Research*, vol. 46, pp. 437–444. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/10.1071/SK08036

CHENU, C. and COSENTINO, D. (2011) Microbial regulation of soil structural Dynamics. In RITZ, K. and YOUNG, I. *The architecture and biology of soils: Life in innorspace*. In CABI, Waling ford, Oxfordshire 0X108DE, UK, 2011, 244 p. ISBN-978-1-84593-531-0.

INYANG, M. I. et al. (2016) A review of biochar as a low – cost absorbent for aqueous heavy metals removal. In *Environmental Science and Technology*, vol. 46, pp. 406–433. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389. 2015.109880

JANKOWSKI, M. (2013) *Gleby ochrowe. Pozycja w krajobrazie, właściwości, geneza i miejsce w systematice.* Wydawnictwo naukowe universytetu Mikołaja Kopernika, 2013. 128 p. ISBN 978-83-231-3033-8.

JIEN, S. H. and WANG, CH. S. (2013) Effects of biochar on soil properties and erosial potencial in a higly weathered soil. In *Catena*, vol. 110, pp. 225–233. DOI: https://doi.org/10,1016/j. catena.2013.06.021

JOSEPH, S. et al. (2013) Shifting paradingms: development of high – efficiency biochar fertilizers based on nano-structures and soluble components. In *Carbon Management*, vol. 4, pp. 323–343. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.4155/emt.13.23

JOZEFACIUK, G. and CZACHOR, H. (2014) Impact of organic matter, iron oxides, aluminia, silica and drying on mechanical and water stability of artificial soil aggregates. Assesment of new method to study water stability. In *Geoderma*, vol. 221–222, pp. 1–10. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2014.01.020

KARAMI, N. et al. (2011) Efficiency of green waste compost and biochar soil amendments for reducing lead and copper mobility and uptake to ryegrass. In *Journal of Hazardous Material*, vol. 191, pp. 41–48. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jhazmat.2011.04.025

KAY, B. and ANGERS, A. (2001) Soil structure. In SUMNER, M. E. *Handbook of Soil Science*. In CRP Press Boca Raton, Florida, FL, USA, 2001. 400p. ISBN 9781420041651

KEILUWEIT, M. et al. (2010) Dynamic molecular structure of plant biomass-dirived black carbon (biochar). In *Environ. Csi. Technol.*, vol. 44, pp. 1247–1253. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/e69031419

KHORAMDEL, S. et al. (2013) Evaluation of carbon sequestration potential in corn field with different management systems. In *Soil and Tillage Research*, vol. 133, pp. 25–31. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still. 2013.04.008

KOOKANA, R. S. et al. (2011) Chaper three – Biochar application to soil: Agronomic and environmental benefits and unitended consequences. In *Agronomy*, vol. 112, pp. 103–143. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385538-1-00003-2

LAGHARI, M, et al. (2015) Effects of biochar application rate on sandy desert soil properties and sorghum growth. In *Catena*, vol. 135, pp. 313, 320. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. catena.2015.08.013

LAIRD, D. et al. (2010) Biochar impact on nutrient leachting from a Midwestern agricultural soil. In *Geoderma*, vol. 158, pp. 436–442. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2010.05.012

LEHMANN, J. and JOSEPH, S. (2009) *Biochar for environmental management. Science, technology and implementation.* New York: Routledge, 2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abirgdon, 2009. 907 p. ISBN 978-1-84407-658-1.

LEHMANN, J. et al. (2011) Biochar effects on soil biota – A review. In *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, vol. 43, pp. 1812– 1836. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.04.022

LI, G. and FAN, H. (2014) Effect of freze-thaw on water stability of aggregates in a black soil of northest China. In *Pedosphere*, vol. 24, pp. 285–290. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(14)60015-1

LI, Y. et al. (2012) *In situ* preparation of biochar coated silica material from rice husk. In *Colloids and Surfaces*, vol. 395, pp. 157–160. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2011.12.023

LIMA, I. and MARSHALL, W. (2005) Utilization of tenkey manure as granular activated carbon: Physical, chemical and adsorptive properties. In *Waste Management*, vol. 25, pp. 726–732. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2004.12.019

LIN, Y. et al. (2012) Water extractable organic carbon is untreated and chemical treated biochars. In *Chemosphere*, vol. 17, pp. 151–157. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chemosphere.2011.12.007 LIU, Y. et al. (2011) Reducing CH_4 and CO_2 emission from water logged paddy soil with biochar. In *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, vol. 11, pp. 930–939. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-011-0376-x

LIU, Z. et al. (2017) Biochar particle size, shape, and porosity act together to influence soil water properties. In *Plos one*, vol. 12. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0179079

MA, N. et al. (2015) Biochar improves soil aggregate stability and water availability in a Mollisol after three years of field application. In *Pedoshere*, vol. 25, pp. 713–719. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1016/S1002-0160(15) 30052-7

MUKHERJEE, A. and LAL, R. (2013) Biochar impacts on soil physical properties and greenhouse gas emissions. In *Agronomy*, vol. 3, pp. 313–339. DOI: doi.10.3390/agronomy3020313

MUKHERJEE, A. et al. (2014) Effects of biochar and other amendments on the physical properties and greenhouse gas emissions of an artificially degraded soil. In *Science of The Total Environment*, vol. 487, pp. 26–36. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2014.03.141

MUKHOLM, L. J. et al. (2002) Tensile strength of soil cores in relation to aggregation strength, soil fragmentation and pore characteristic. In *Soil and Tillage Research*, vol. 64, pp. 125–135. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987(01)00250-1

MUKOME, F. N. D. et al. (2013) The effects of walnut shell and wood feedstock biochar amendments on greenhouse gas emission from a fertile soil. In *Geoderma*, vol. 200–201, pp. 90– 98. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2013.02.004

NEIRA, J. et al. (2015) Oxygen diffusion in soils: Understanding the factors and process needed for modeling. In *Journal of Agricultural Research*, vol. 75. DOI: https://dx.doi. org/10.4067/S0718-583920150003000005

NORTHON, J. B. et al. (2012) Loss and recovery of soil organic carbon and nitrogen in a semiarid agroecosystem. In *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, vol. 76, pp. 505–514. DOI: https://doi.org/10.213/sssaj.2011.0284

NOVAK, J. M. et al. (2012) Biochar impact on soil-moisture storage in an Ultisol nad two Aridisols. In *Soil Science*, vol. 177, pp. 310–320. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1097/SS.0b013e31824e5593

OADES, J. M. and WATERS, A. G. (1991) Aggregate hierarchy in soil. In *Australian Journal of Soil Research*, vol. 29, pp. 815–828. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1071/SR9910815

OBIA, A. et al. (2016) *In situ* effects of biochar on aggregation, water retention and porosity in light-textured tropical soils. In *Soil and Tillage Research*, vol. 155, pp. 35–44. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.still.2015.08.002

OLESZCZUK, P. et al. (2014) Microbial, biochemical and ecotoxicological evaluation of soils in the area of biochar production in relation to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon content. In *Geoderma*, vol. 213, pp. 502–511. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2013.08.027

ORAM, N, J. et al. (2014) Soil amendment witch biochar increases the competetive ability of legumes via increased potassium availability. In *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, vol. 191, pp. 92–98. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. agee.2014.03.031

PARADELO, R. et al. (2013) Water-dispersible clay in bare fallow soil after 80 years of continuos fertilizer addition. In *Geoderma*, vol. 200–201, pp. 40–44. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2013.01.014

PICCOLO, A. and MBAGWO, J. S. C. (1999) Role of hydrophobic components of soil organic matter in soil aggregate stability. In *American Society of Agronomy*, vol. 63, pp. 1801–1810. DOI: 10.2136/sssaj/1999.9361801x

PIETIKAINEN, J. et al. (2000) Does short-term heating of forest humus change its properties os a substrate for microbes? In *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, vol. 32, pp. 277–288. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(99)00164-9

POLLÁKOVÁ, N. et al. (2017) The influence of soil organic matter fractions on aggregates stabilization in agricultural and forest soil of selected Slovak and Czech hilly lands. In *Journal of Soil Sediments*, vol. 13, pp. 1–11. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11368-017-1842-x

PROVENZANO, M. R. et al. (2014) Chemical and spectroscopic characterization of organic matter during the anaerobic digestion and successive composting of pig slurry. In *Waste Management*, vol. 34, pp. 653–660. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2013.12.001

RAHMAN, M.T. et al. (2017) The roles of organic amendments and microbial community in the improvement of soil structure of a Vertisol. In *Applied Soil Ecology*, vol. 111, pp. 84–93. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2016.11.018

RAJKOVICH, S. et al. (2012) Corn growth and nitrogen nutrition after additions of biochars with varying properties to a temperature soil. In *Biology and Fertility of Soil*, vol. 48, pp. 271–284. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/S00374-011-0624-7

SANTOS, D. et al. (1997) Uniform separatis of concentric surface layers from soil aggregates. In *Soil Science of America Journal Abstract*, vol. 61, pp. 720–724.

STEFANIUK, M. and OLESTCZUK, P. (2015) Characterization of biochars produced from residues from biogas production. In *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, vol. 115, pp. 157–165. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2015.07.011

SZOMBATOVÁ, N. (1999) Comparison of soil carbon surceptibility to oxidation by KNMO₄ in different farming system in Slovakia. In *Humic Substances in The Enviroment*, vol. 1, pp. 35–39.

ŠIMANSKÝ, V. et al. (2017) Biochar and biochar with N fertilizer as a potential tool for improving soil sorption of nutrients. In *Journal of Soil and Sediments*, pp. 1–9. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-017-1886-y

ŠIMANSKÝ, V. (2016) Effects of biochar and biochar with nitrogen on soil organic matter and soil structure in Haplic Luvisol. In *Acta fytotechnica et zootechnica*, vol. 19, pp. 129–138. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.15414/afz.2016.19.04.129-138

ŠIMANSKÝ, V. (2017) Is the period of 18 years sufficient for an evaluation of changes in soil organic carbon under a variety of different soil management practices? In *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, vol. 48, pp.37–42. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2016.1253717

ŠIMANSKÝ, V. and BAJČAN, D. (2014) Stability of soil aggregates and their ability of carbon sequestration. In *Soil and Water Res.*, vol. 9, pp. 111–118

ŠIMANSKÝ, V. and POLLÁKOVÁ, N. (2014) Soil organic matter and sorption capacity under different soil management practices in a productive vineyard. In *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*, vol. 59, pp. 1145– 1154. DOI: https://doi.org/10.108003650340.865837 ŠIMANSKÝ, V. and POLLÁKOVÁ, N. (2016) The effects of soil management particles on soil organic matter changes within a productive vineyard in the Nitra viticulture area (Slovakia). In *Agriculture*, vol. 61, pp. 28–40. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1515/aqri-2016-0001

ŠIMANSKÝ, V. et al. (2013) The effect of organic matter on aggregation under different soil management practices in a vineyard in an extremely humid year. In *Catena*, vol. 101, pp. 108–113. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2012.10.011

ŠIMANSKÝ, V. et al. (2016) How dose of biochar and biochar with nitrogen can improve the parameters of soil organic matter and soil structure? In *Biologia*, vol. 71 (9), pp. 989–995. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/biolog-2016-0122

ŠIMANSKÝ, V. et al. (2017a) Carbon sequestration in waterstable aggregates under biochar and biochar with nitrogen fertilization. In Bulgrian *Journal of Agricultural Research*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 429–435.

USMAN, A. R. et al. (2015) Biochar production from date palm waste: Charring temperature induced changes in composition and surface chemistry. In *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, vol. 115, pp. 392–400. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jaap.2015.08.016 WANG, K. and XING, B. (2005) Structural and sorption characteristics of adsorped humid acid on clay minerals. In *American Society of Agronomy*, vol. 31, pp. 342–349. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2134/jeg2005.0342

YEBOAH, E. et al. (2009) Improving soil productivity through biochar amendments to soil. In African Journal of *Environmental Science and Technology*, vol. 3, pp. 34–41.

ZHANG, A. et al. (2010) Effect of biochar amendment on yield and methane and nitrous oxide emission from rice paddy from Tai Lake plain, China. In *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, vol. 139, pp. 469–475. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.agee.2010.09.003

ZIELIŃSKA, A. et al. (2015) Effect of sewage sludge properties on the biochar characteristic. In *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, vol. 112, pp. 201–213. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jaap.2015.01.025

ZWIETEN, L. et al. (2010) Effects of biochar from slow pyrolysis of papermill waste on agronomic performance and soil fertility. In *Plant and Soil*, vol. 327, pp. 235–246. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-009-005-x