**Original Paper** 

## Insecticidal potentials of dry powder and solvent extracts of Tithonia diversifolia (Hemsl.) A. Gray flower against rice meal moth, Corcyra cephalonica (Stainton)

Mysore Seshadri Roopa, Thejanuo Rhetso, Ramnath Shubharani, Venkataramegowda Sivaram\* Bangalore University, Department of Botany, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

Article Details: Received: 2020-07-17 Accepted: 2020-10-16 Available online: 2021-06-30 

https://doi.org/10.15414/afz.2021.24.02.94-100

(cc) BY Licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



Post-harvest losses of agricultural produce due to storage food grain pests lead to detrimental effects on the economic growth of any nation. However, a solution to this is to rely on adapting necessary cultural operations and effective traditional remedies that are locally available, which are inexpensive for the control of pests. Tithonia diversifolia (Hemsl.) A. Gray is an invasive weed that grows along the roadside and wasteland in rural and urban areas. The objective of this study was to evaluate the pesticidal efficacy of powder and extracts of T. diversifolia flower by using different solvents such as aqueous, methanol, chloroform, hexane, petroleum ether and ethyl acetate against rice moth, Corcyra cephalonica. The dry powder and extracts of T. diversifolia flower were tested to check the mortality of C. cephalonica eggs, larvae, and adults under the laboratory conditions (28 ±2 °C and relative humidity 65 ±5%). The mortality of the insect increased with an increase in the concentration of extracts. The results showed that the different solvent extracts of T. diversifolia flower were significantly more effective than the dry powder and control against different developmental stages of C. cephalonica. Methanol and ethyl acetate extracts showed an almost equitoxic lethal effect on all three stages of the life cycle of C. cephalonica. The present work suggests that the T. diversifolia flower possesses insecticidal properties against C. cephalonica eggs, larvae and adults. Thus, it can be recommended for use by the farmers as a potential pesticidal plant.

Keywords: Tithonia diversifolia, Corcyra cephalonica, larvae, pesticidal plant, weed

## 1 Introduction

Plant-based products are incorporated into Integrated Pest Management (IPM) strategies and sustainable agricultural practices (Lengai et al., 2020). Tithonia diversifolia (Hemsl.) A. Gray, commonly called Mexican sunflower or Japanese sunflower is a member of the Asteraceae family. This plant is native to Mexico, Central America, Cuba and has been spread across the globe in more than 70 countries, and is considered as an invasive weed (Obiakara & Fourcade, 2018). According to the Global Invasive Species Database, it can tolerate heat, drought and swiftly grow to form a large herbaceous shrub (GISD, 2015). It is regarded as one of the less explored plant (Akobundu & Agyakwa, 1987).

The flower of T. diversifolia contains sesquiterpene, diterpenes, monoterpenes (Tagitinins A) and acyclic

compounds along with a-Pinene, cis-Ocimene, and limonene as reported earlier (Agboola et al., 2016; Menut et al., 1992; Zhao et al., 2012). These phytochemicals are ascribed to their biological activity against insects (Green et al., 2017; Ambrósio et al., 2008). Moreso, this plant has been detailed to have insect feeding deterrent characteristics due to the presence of 6-methoxyapigenin, tagitinins A, B, C, and F, along with diversiform, triotundin, tithonine and sulphurein (Mwanauta et al., 2014). Some of the documented pesticidal properties of T. diversifolia are larvicidal and repellent effect on mosquitoes (Langat et al., 2012), insecticidal activity on termite (Séraphin et al., 2018), acaricide (Deka et al., 2017), fungicide (Mapa et al., 2017) and insecticide against storage pest - Callosobruchus maculatus (Adedire & Akineye, 2005) and Sitophilus zeamais (Adedire et al., 2006; Babarinde et al., 2008).

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author: Venkataramegowda Sivaram, Bangalore University, Department of Botany, Bengaluru 560056, Karnataka, India; phone: +91-(080)22961315, mobile: 9480278729, e-mail: sivaram900@gmail.com

Corcyra cephalonica is a global storage pest commonly called as rice meal moth of the family Pyralidae. The pest is pantropical in distribution, mainly seen in tropics and subtropics. The larvae of the rice moth feed on almost all sorts of stored food like cereals, oilseeds, pulses, spices, fruits etc. (Pillai et al., 2017). The enormous losses caused in stored food are mainly by the fully-grown larvae, which feed on grains producing silken webs leaving faecal material. This kind of contamination makes food unhealthy for human consumption (Frenmore & Prakash, 1992; Verma & Pathak, 2018). Prolonged application of chemical pesticides has ultimately resulted in pest resurgence, increase in environmental contamination, harmful effect on non-target organisms and destruction of beneficial insects like pollinators in agroecosystem (Ndakidemi et al., 2016; Aktar et al., 2009). To avoid the toxicity of synthetic pesticides, an attempt was made for the first time to investigate the pesticidal efficacy of powder and aqueous, methanol, chloroform, hexane, petroleum ether and ethyl acetate extracts of T. diversifolia flower against rice moth, C. cephalonica. The extracts were tested on the egg, larvae, and adult of C. cephalonica in laboratory conditions.

## 2 Material and methods

## 2.1 Insect rearing

The eggs of *C. cephalonica* were obtained from Indian Council for Agricultural Research (ICAR) – National Bureau of Agricultural Insect Research (NBAIR), Bangalore and reared under the laboratory condition  $(28 \pm 2 \,^{\circ}C$  and relative humidity  $65 \pm 5\%$ ). The culture was maintained in autoclaved dietary medium kept in a plastic container of 10 kg capacity covered using a muslin cloth and 0.5 cc of eggs were reared on a dietary medium consisting of 2.5 kg broken sorghum, yeast powder (1 g), crushed groundnut powder (100 g) and streptomycin (0.5 g). The subculture and tests were conducted in the same condition (Allotey & Azalekor, 2000).

## 2.2 Plant collection and Preparation of extracts

Flowers of *T. diversifolia* was collected from the premises of Hindustan Machine Tool Industry Staff Quarters, Bangalore, Karnataka, India and authenticated by Dr. V. Rama Rao, Taxonomist, Regional Ayurveda Research Institute for metabolic disorders (Central Council for Research in Ayurvedic Sciences, Ministry of AYUSH, Govt. of India), Bengaluru, Karnataka with the authentication number RRCBI-mus 203. The collected flowers were rinsed in clean water to remove the dirt and impurities. The petals were separated and shade dried in a well-ventilated place for a week. The dried petals were powdered using an electrical mixer. Further, it was sieved, packed in an airtight plastic container and stored in a laboratory cupboard before use.

## 2.3 Procurement of Rice

Rice used for this study was pesticide-free and obtained from the local farmers of Chamarajanagar, Karnataka, India. Damaged grains were separated by handpicking method and healthy grains were used for the experiment. The clean and healthy seeds were oven-dried at 105 °C for 90 minutes and cooled at room temperature overnight to eliminate any pest infestation coming from the field. Using digital electrical balance, 20 g of rice grains were weighed in 240 ml glass bottles in quadruple of each concentration and stored in a cool, dry place.

# 2.4 Effect of *Tithonia diversifolia* flower powder on eggs of *Corcyra cephalonica*

Fine flower powder of *T. diversifolia* at concentration 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0 g mixed with 20 g of rice grain in 240 ml glass bottle and each one was joggled several times to ensure homogenous mixing of powder with grains. Further, 20 un-collapsed eggs (0–24 hr old) of *C. cephalonica* were introduced into each bottle using a fine brush and was covered using muslin cloth. Four replicates of each treatment were set up and a bottle devoid of flower powder was included as control. After a week of incubation, larvae became visible to naked eyes and were counted. The eggs that failed to hatch was noted and percentage of egg hatching inhibition was calculated (Khani et al., 2012; Zambare et al., 2012).

# 2.5 Effect of flower powder of *T. diversifolia* on larvae and adult emergence

Rice grains (20 g) were admixed with different concentrations (0.5, 1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 g) of T. diversifolia flower powder in 240 ml glass bottle. The rice grains without flower powder were set up as control. In each treatment bottle, 20 larvae (16 ±1 day old; 3rd instar) of C. cephalonica were introduced. Infested culture bottles were closed using muslin cloth to avoid entry and exit of larvae and were incubated (28 ±2 °C and relative humidity 65 ±5%). The experiment was designed in two sets (A & B) of four replicates of each concentration. In set A bottles, larval mortality was checked after 8 days and the number of dead larvae was noted to figure out the percentage of larval mortality (Meena et al., 2016). In set B bottles, larvae were allowed to feed on to produce adults. The number of adults that emerged was recorded at the end of the experiment. The percentage of inhibition of adult emergence was calculated (Meena et al., 2016).

#### 2.6 Preparation of plant extracts

Twenty grams of the powdered flower were separately extracted with 200 ml of solvents such as methanol, aqueous, ethyl acetate, chloroform, hexane, and petroleum ether using soxhlet apparatus to get the different solvent extracts. The instrument was run for 16 hours at a temperature not exceeding the boiling point of the respective solvent. The extracts were separately collected, filtered and the filtrates were concentrated using a rotary flash evaporator. Different concentration of stock (0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0, 2.0% of extract) was prepared by dissolving the extracts in respective solvent. Extract solutions were stored in the refrigerator at 4 °C (Merculieff et al., 2014).

## 2.7 Effect of plant extracts on egg hatchability

Two millilitres of different extract solution (methanol, aqueous, chloroform, hexane, petroleum ether, and ethyl acetate) of T. diversifolia flowers at various concentration (0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0 and 2.0%) admixed separately with 20 g of rice in 240 ml glass bottle. Rice grains with 2 ml of pure solvent (chloroform, hexane, petroleum ether, and ethyl acetate) alone without extract was separately included as control. Solvents were evaporated to dryness and in each treatment bottle, 20 un-collapsed eggs (0-24 hr old) of C. cephalonica was introduced using a fine brush and the bottles were covered using muslin cloth. Four replicates of each treatment were set up and after a week of incubation, larvae became visible to naked eyes and were counted. The eggs that failed to hatch were noted. The percentage of inhibition in egg hatch was calculated (Zambare et al., 2012).

#### 2.8 Effect of plant extracts on larval mortality and adult emergence

Two millilitres of different concentration (0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0 and 2.0%) of different extract solution (methanol,

aqueous, chloroform, hexane, petroleum ether, and ethyl acetate) was admixed with 20 g of rice grains in 240 ml glass bottle. In each bottle, 20 larvae (16 ±1 days old; 3<sup>rd</sup> instar) of *C. cephalonica* were introduced and bottles were covered using muslin cloth and stored at 28 ±2 °C and relative humidity 65 ±5%. The rice grains with solvent alone were set up as control. The experiment was designed in two sets (A & B) of four replicates of each concentration. In set A bottles, larval mortality was checked after 8 days and the number of dead larvae was noted to find the percentage of larval mortality (Pathak & Tiwari, 2012). In set B bottles, larvae were allowed to feed on to produce adults. The number of adults that emerged was recorded at the end of the experiment. The percentage of inhibition in adult emergence was calculated (Khani et al., 2012).

## 2.9 Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using one- way ANOVA (p <0.05) followed by Tukey's multiple range test using GraphPad Prism 5.01 software. To estimate the  $LC_{50}$  and associated 95% confidence interval for each treatment, mortality was corrected by Abbott's formula (Abbott, 1925) and Probit analysis (Finney method) of dose-mortality was conducted (Finney, 1971).

## 3 Results and discussion

Percentage of egg mortality, larval mortality, and inhibition of adult emergence of *C. cephalonica* in different concentration of dry flower powder of *T. diversifolia* is presented in Table 1. At 0.5 g dosage level, the egg hatchability was inhibited only by 7.5  $\pm$ 1.44% while at 2 g dosage level highest suppression of 50  $\pm$ 2.04% was observed. Larval mortality was 5  $\pm$ 0% at 0.5 g of floral powder which increased to only 33.75  $\pm$ 6.88% at 2.0 g dosage. Similarly, inhibition of adult emergence was 11.25  $\pm$ 3.15% recorded at the lowest dosage level 0.5 g

Treatment (g)	% inhibition of egg hatchability	% larval mortality	% inhibition of adult emergence
Control	$0.0 \pm 0.0^{a}$	$0.0 \pm 0^{a}$	1.25 ±1.25 <sup>ab</sup>
0.5	7.5 ±1.44 <sup>a</sup>	5.0 ±0 <sup>a</sup>	11.25 ±3.15 <sup>b</sup>
1.0	22.5 ±4.33 <sup>b</sup>	10 ±0 <sup>a</sup>	26.25 ±3.15°
1.5	36.25 ±2.39 <sup>c</sup>	11.25 ±3.15 <sup>a</sup>	$42.5 \pm 3.23^{d}$
2.0	50 ±2.04 <sup>d</sup>	33.75 ±6.88 <sup>a</sup>	43.75 ±2.39 <sup>d</sup>
F value	68.08	10.43	47.08
LC <sub>50</sub> (LCL – UCL)	2.09 (1.89–2.29)	6.74 (2.38–11.11)	2.39 (1.83–2.94)

Table 1Percentage inhibition of egg hatchability, larval mortality and inhibition of adult emergence of Corcyra<br/>cephalonica exposed to flower powder of Tithonia diversifolia

mean ± standard error followed by the same letter with in the column do not differ significantly (P < 0.0001). LCL, lower confidence limit, UCL – upper confidence limit

which increased to  $43.75 \pm 2.39\%$  at the 2 g dosage level. As the dosage level of flower powder increased, a significant increase in mortality was noted. The lethal concentration 50% of flower powder on *C. cephalonica* is displayed in Table 1, which depicts that 2.09, 6.74, and 2.39 g of flower powder that could kill 50% of the population of *C. cephalonica* egg, larvae and evoke inhibition of adult emergence, respectively.

The effect of the floral extracts of *T. diversifolia* with different solvents (chloroform, ethyl acetate, hexane, petroleum ether, aqueous, and methanol) on the hatchability of *C. cephalonica* eggs is as shown in Table 2. As the concentration increased, a significant increase in egg mortality was noticed in all the extracts. There was a substantial difference between the egg hatchability in control and floral extracts in different solvents. Among six solvents tested, methanol extract elicited 97.5  $\pm$ 1.44% mortality of egg at 2% concentration which was significantly highest in inhibiting the hatchability of rice moth eggs. This was followed by chloroform, hexane, and ethyl acetate extract at the concentration 2% which

showed 91.25  $\pm$ 2.39%, 83.75  $\pm$ 4.27%, and 81.25  $\pm$ 1.25%, respectively. Ethyl acetate and hexane extract were toxic to the eggs at the lowest concentration of 0.4% where mortality of egg was 46.25  $\pm$ 2.39% and 45.0  $\pm$ 2.04% respectively. Significant reduction in egg hatchability showed the detrimental effect of both ethyl acetate and methanol extract having lowest LC<sub>50</sub> value of 0.507% and 0.539% respectively (Table 2).

The larvicidal effect of different solvent extracts of *T. diversifolia* flower against *C. cephalonica* larvae is presented in Table 3. Noticeably higher mortality was observed in all extracts as compared to the control. Among six extracts, methanol extract at maximum concentration (2%) recorded 100% mortality ( $LC_{50} = 0.594\%$ ), followed by ethyl acetate (91.25%) and aqueous (92.5%) extract. Ethyl acetate extract was effective in causing the death of over half of the total larval population showing  $LC_{50}$  of 0.285% (Table 3).

All the treatments strongly suppressed the adult emergence of *C. cephalonica* (Table 4). As the extract

Treatment (%)	Chloroform	Ethyl acetate	Hexane	Pet ether	Aqueous	Methanol
Control	10.0 ±3.53 <sup>a</sup>	11.25 ±2.39 <sup>a</sup>	18.75 ±3.15 <sup>a</sup>	6.25 ±2.39 <sup>a</sup>	2.5 ±2.5 <sup>a</sup>	10.0 ±2.04 <sup>a</sup>
0.4	18.75 ±3.75 <sup>ab</sup>	46.25 ±2.39 <sup>b</sup>	45.0 ±2.04 <sup>b</sup>	17.5 ±3.23 <sup>ab</sup>	11.25 ±3.39 <sup>a</sup>	26.25 ±4.27 <sup>b</sup>
0.6	30.0 ±5.40 <sup>bc</sup>	60.0 ±4.56 <sup>c</sup>	58.75 ±3.15 <sup>bc</sup>	30.0 ±4.56 <sup>bc</sup>	12.5 ±3.23 <sup>ab</sup>	51.25 ±4.27 <sup>c</sup>
0.8	38.75 ±4.27 <sup>cd</sup>	68.75 ±2.39 <sup>cd</sup>	61.25 ±4.27 <sup>c</sup>	40.0 ±5.40 <sup>c</sup>	23.75 ±1.25 <sup>bc</sup>	68.75 ±2.39 <sup>d</sup>
1	52.5 ±3.23 <sup>d</sup>	75.0 ±2.04 <sup>de</sup>	77.5 ±1.44 <sup>d</sup>	45.0 ±2.04 <sup>c</sup>	32.5 ±3.23 <sup>cd</sup>	78.75 ±5.54 <sup>d</sup>
2	91.25 ±2.39 <sup>e</sup>	81.25 ±1.25 <sup>e</sup>	83.75 ±4.27 <sup>d</sup>	65.0 ±4.56 <sup>d</sup>	41.25 ±2.39 <sup>d</sup>	97.5 ±1.44 <sup>e</sup>
F value	56.41	89.63	48.84	28.65	31.68	97.63
LC <sub>50</sub>	0.992	0.507	0.747	1.426	2.439	0.539
(LCL – UCL)	(0.869–1.114)	(0.394–0.619)	(0.654–0.841)	(1.034–1.818)	(2.244–2.635)	(0.419–0.659)

 Table 2
 Percentage inhibition of Corcyra cephalonica egg hatchability in various extracts of Tithonia diversifolia flower

mean ± standard error followed by the same letter within the column do not differ significantly (P < 0.0001). LCL, lower confidence limit, UCL – upper confidence limit

 Table 3
 Percentage larval mortality of Corcyra cephalonica in various extracts of Tithonia diversifolia flower

Treatment (%)	Chloroform	Ethyl acetate	Hexane	Pet ether	Aqueous	Methanol
Control	5.0 ±2.04 <sup>a</sup>	8.75 ±3.75 <sup>a</sup>	6.25 ±2.39 <sup>a</sup>	8.75 ±1.25 <sup>a</sup>	2.5 ±1.44 <sup>a</sup>	5.0 ±2.04 <sup>a</sup>
0.4	17.5 ±3.23 <sup>b</sup>	61.25 ±5.54 <sup>b</sup>	33.75 ±3.15 <sup>b</sup>	20.0 ±2.04 <sup>ab</sup>	12.5 ±1.44 <sup>ab</sup>	30.0 ±4.56 <sup>b</sup>
0.6	38.75 ±3.75 <sup>c</sup>	65.0 ±3.5 <sup>b</sup>	40.0 ±4.56 <sup>bc</sup>	33.75 ±4.27 <sup>bc</sup>	22.5 ±3.23 <sup>b</sup>	51.25 ±4.27 <sup>c</sup>
0.8	56.25 ±2.39 <sup>d</sup>	67.5 ±5.95 <sup>b</sup>	53.75 ±1.25 <sup>cd</sup>	41.25 ±4.27 <sup>cd</sup>	56.25 ±5.15 <sup>c</sup>	$67.5 \pm 3.23^{d}$
1	61.25 ±2.39 <sup>de</sup>	77.5 ±3.23 <sup>bc</sup>	62.5 ±5.20 <sup>d</sup>	55.0 ±2.89 <sup>d</sup>	67.5 ±3.23 <sup>c</sup>	85.0 ±2.04 <sup>e</sup>
2	72.5 ±1.44 <sup>e</sup>	91.25 ±5.15 <sup>c</sup>	88.75 ±2.39 <sup>e</sup>	78.75 ±3.75 <sup>e</sup>	92.5 ±1.44 <sup>d</sup>	100 ±0.0 <sup>f</sup>
F value	99.09	36.74	66.28	58.39	139.0	128.8
LC	0.927	0.285	0.748	1.052	0.836	0.594
(LCL – UCL)	(0.853–1.002)	(0.087–0.484)	(0.664–0.831)	(0.934–1.169)	(0.797–0.875)	(0.557–0.632)

mean ± standard error followed by the same letter with in the column do not differ significantly (P < 0.0001). LCL, lower confidence limit, UCL – upper confidence limit

Treatment (%)	Chloroform	Ethyl acetate	Hexane	Petroleum ether	Aqueous	Methanol
Control	11.25 ±4.27 <sup>a</sup>	15.0 ±4.56 <sup>a</sup>	17.5 ±3.23 <sup>a</sup>	12.5 ±3.23 <sup>a</sup>	17.5 ±3.23 <sup>a</sup>	7.5 ±3.23 <sup>a</sup>
0.4	21.25 ±5.54 <sup>ab</sup>	25.0 ±2.04 <sup>ab</sup>	48.75 ±4.27 <sup>b</sup>	27.5 ±1.44 <sup>b</sup>	28.75 ±2.39 <sup>ab</sup>	67.5 ±5.95 <sup>b</sup>
0.6	32.5 ±3.23 <sup>ab</sup>	41.25 ±5.90 <sup>ab</sup>	57.5 ±3.23 <sup>bc</sup>	46.25 ±2.39 <sup>c</sup>	42.5 ±3.23 <sup>b</sup>	80.0 ±2.04 <sup>bc</sup>
0.8	67.5 ±3.23 <sup>c</sup>	83.75 ±4.27 <sup>c</sup>	65.0 ±5.4 <sup>bc</sup>	$70.0 \pm 3.54^{d}$	63.75 ±4.27 <sup>c</sup>	87.5 ±1.44 <sup>cd</sup>
1	92.5 ±3.23 <sup>d</sup>	90.0 ±3.54 <sup>c</sup>	72.5 ±3.23 <sup>cd</sup>	96.25 ±2.39 <sup>e</sup>	71.25 ±2.39 <sup>c</sup>	95.0 ±2.04 <sup>d</sup>
2	96.25 ±1.25 <sup>d</sup>	97.5 ±1.44 <sup>c</sup>	87.5 ±4.79 <sup>d</sup>	98.75 ±1.25 <sup>e</sup>	93.75 ±3.75 <sup>d</sup>	100.0 ±0.0 <sup>d</sup>
F value	99.95	83.65	33.74	202.1	75.58	123.6
LC <sub>50</sub>	0.740	0.651	0.602	0.660	0.819	0.289
(LCL – UCL)	(0.598–0.882)	(0.590–0.711)	(0.544–0.659)	(0.594–0.727)	(0.716–0.922)	(0.209–0.369)

 Table 4
 Percentage inhibition of Corcyra cephalonica adult emergence in various extracts of Tithonia diversifolia flower

 $mean \pm standard error followed by the same letter with in the column do not differ significantly (P < 0.0001). LCL, lower confidence limit, UCL - upper confidence limit$ 

concentration increased, a remarkable increase in inhibition of adult emergence of *C. cephalonica* was observed. The methanol extract showed 100% inhibition of adult emergence and the concentration of 0.4% brought 67.5% inhibition of adult emergence with an  $LC_{50}$  value of 0.289% (Table 4). On a general note, the toxicity of both methanol and ethyl acetate extracts against all three stages of the insect was almost equitoxic and superior to the toxicity of other extracts.

Powdered leaves of *Lantana camara*, *Clerodendrum inerme*, *Citrus limon* and *Azadirachta indica* exhibited significant mortality of *C. cephalonica* (Morya et al., 2010; Pathak & Tiwari 2010). The present result shows that flower powder of *T. diversifolia* was less effective to the test organism – *C. cephalonica* compared to all the solvent extracts. This performance corresponds to Adedire and Akinneye (2004) who reported the moderate potential of *T. diversifolia* leaf powder in control of *C. maculatus* and *S. zeamais* (Babarinde et al., 2008).

In the current study, the insecticidal activity of different solvent extracts of *T. diversifolia* flower was tested on *C. cephalonica*. A similar study was carried out by Pantoja-Pulido et al. (2020) who evaluated insecticidal activity of various solvent extracts of *T. diversifolia* leaves, in which dichloromethane extract showed best activity against *Atta cephalotes* and Ambrósio et al. (2008) reported antifeedant activity against *Chlosyne lacinia*. Hexane and methanol extract of *T. diversifolia* was effective against the insect pests of honeybees: *Crematogaster lineolata*, *Aethina tumida*, *Achroia grisella* and *Galleria mellonella* (Pitan et al., 2015). Thus, solvents used for extracts (Lale & Maina, 2003; Akinyemi et al., 2016).

Among all the samples tested, methanol extract from the flower of *T. diversifolia* showed a remarkable detrimental effect on eggs, larvae, and adults of *C. cephalonica*. The present study supports the earlier work of Kangade and

Zambare (2013) where 100% mortality of rice moth was observed in methanol extract of *Argemone mexicana*. Similar results were also obtained by Tavares et al. (2014) that ethanol extract of *T. diversifolia* flower caused higher mortality and repellency of *Sitophillus zeamais* and *Sitotroga cerealella* (Fouad et al., 2014). The methanol extract of *T. diversifolia* leaves were toxic to *C. maculatus* (Green et al., 2017) and *Anopheles gambiae* (Ileke et al., 2019).

Thus, the pesticidal property of T. diversifolia extracts can be attributed to its rich source of phytochemicals, especially the sesquiterpene lactone (Ayokunnun & Moteetee, 2017). Sesquiterpene lactones are characteristic constituents of the family Asteraceae possessing biological activities such as insect antifeedant, antibacterial, molluscicidal, antiprotozoal, antispasmodic, protection of plants against pathogens, herbivorous insects and allelopathic agents (Choudary & Mishra, 2019). Tagitinin C, a sesquiterpene mainly present in the genus Tithonia, revealed great antifeedant activity on the caterpillar (Pavela, et al., 2016) and several arthropod pests (Susurluk et al., 2007). This indicates that T. diversifolia having an inhibitory effect on eggs, larvae, and adults can be exploited to control C. cephalonica infestation of stored rice and other food grain. This plant is a global invasive weed, where its collection and utilization as pesticide could reduce its environmental impact. The results obtained from this study foretell greater utility of T. diversifolia as a source of botanical formulation capable of protecting stored rice from infestation by C. cephalonica.

## 4 Conclusions

The finding of the present study indicates that the methanol extract from the flower of *T. diversifolia* was toxic to *C. cephalonica* showing a promising effect in the control of the rice moth. The outcome of this research suggests that *T. diversifolia*, a locally available weed,

which can be utilized as a potential bioresource for the development of biopesticide. It can be an economically effective and environmentally friendly pest control resource against stored grain pests. However, further studies are necessary for the isolation, identification, and bioassay of the phytocompounds present in the plant with special reference to tagitinin C and tagitinin A for confirming their potential pesticidal property.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the Department of Botany, Bangalore University, Bengaluru – 560056, Karnataka, India for laboratory facilities used during the course of investigation.

#### References

Abbott, W.S. (1925). A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *Journal of Economics Entomology*, 18, 265–267. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/18.2.265a</u>

Adedire, C.O. & Akinneye, J.O. (2005). Biological activity of tree marigold, *Tithonia diversifolia*, on cowpea bruchid, *Callosbruchus maculatus* (Coleoptera; Bruchidae). *Annals of Applied Biology*, 144, 185–189.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7348.2004.tb00332.x

Adedire, C.O. et al. (2006). Aspects of the biological activity of the powder and extracts of leaves of *Tithonia diversifolia*. *Nigerian Journal of Experimental and Applied Biology*, 7(1), 11–16.

Agboola, O.O. et al. (2016). Chemical composition and antimicrobial activities of essential oil extracted from *Tithonia diversifolia* (Asteraceae) flower. *Journal of Bioresources and Bioproducts*, 1(4), 169–176.

Akinyemi, O. A. et al. (2016). Bioactivity of acetone and chloroform extract of *Xylopia aethiopica* (Dunal) A. rich seed against *Callosobruchus maculatus* Fabricius (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *Journal of Biologically Active Products from Nature*, 6 (5–6), 412–423.

Akobundu, I.O. & Agyakwa, C.W. (1987). *A Handbook of West African Weeds*. Nigeria: International Institute for Tropical Agriculture (pp. 194–195).

Aktar, M.W. et al. (2009). Impact of pesticides use in agriculture: their benefits and hazards. *Interdisciplinary toxicology*, 2(1), 1–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.2478/v10102-009-0001-7</u>

Allotey, J. & Azalekor, W. (2000). Some aspects of the biology and control using botanicals of the rice moth, *Corcyra cephalonica* (Stainton), on some pulses. *Journal of Stored Products Research*, 36(3), 235–243.

https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-474x(99)00045-4

Ambrósio, S.R. et al. (2008). Constituents of glandular trichomes of *Tithonia diversifolia*: relationships to herbivory and antifeedant activity. *Phytochemistry*, 69, 2052–2060. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2008.03.019

Ayokun-nun, A. & Moteetee, A. (2017). *Tithonia diversifolia* (Hemsl) A. Gray. (Asteraceae: Heliantheae), an invasive plant of significant ethnopharmacological importance: A review. *South African Journal of Botany*, 113. 396–403. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2017.09.017 Babarinde, S. A. et al. (2008). Potential of *Tithonia diversifolia* with pirimiphos methyl in control of *Sitophilus zeamais* (Coleoptera: Curculinoidae). *African Journal of Plant Science and Biotechnology*, 2(2), 77–80.

Choudary, S. & Mishra, P. K. (2019). A review on pharmacognocy of bioactive sesquiterpene lactones. *International Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemical Research*, 11(3), 116–121.

#### https://doi.org/10.25258/phyto.11.3.4

Deka, B. et al. (2017). Bio-efficacy of certain indigenous plant extracts against red spider mite, *Oligonychus coffeae*, Nietner (Tetranychidae: Acarina) infesting tea. *Journal of Tea Science Research*, 7(4), 28–33. <u>https://doi.org/10.5376/jtsr.2017.07.0004</u>

Finney, D.J. (1971). *Probit analysis*. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (pp. 333).

#### https://doi.org/10.1002/jps.2600600940

Fouad, H. et al. (2014). Botanical extracts of plants from the Brazilian Cerrado for the integrated management of *Sitotroga cerealella* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in stored grain. *Journal of Stored Products Research*. 57, 6–11.

#### https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2014.01.001

Frenmore, P.G. & Prakash, A (1992). *Applied Entomology,* Wiley Eastern Ltd., New Delhi.

Global Invasive Species Database (GISD). (2015). Species profile *Tithonia diversifolia*. IUCN. Retrieved September 28, 2020 from <u>http://www.iucngisd.org/gisd/species.php?sc=1320</u>

Green, P. W. C. et al. (2017). Insecticidal activity of *Tithonia* diversifolia and Vernonia amygdalina. Industrial Crops and Products, 110,15. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2017.08.021</u>

Ileke, K. D. et al. (2019). Efficacy of *Tithonia diversifolia* and *Momordica charantia* leaves extracts against malaria vector, *Anopheles gambiae* Gile (Diptera: Culicidae). *International Journal of Tropical Disease & Health*, 36(2), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.9734/iitdb/2019/v36i230139

#### https://doi.org/10.9734/ijtdh/2019/v36i230139

Kangade, Y.P. & Zambare, S.P. (2013). Effect of extracts of *Argemone mexicana* leaves on the development of *Corcyra cephaionica* (Stainton) for the protection of the stored grains. *Indian Journal of Applied Research*, 3(4). https://doi.org/10.36106/ijar

Khani, M. et al. (2012). Bioactivity effect of *Piper nigrum* L. and *Jatropha curcas* L. extracts against *Corcyra cephalonica* (Stainton). *Agrotechnology*, 2(1), 1–6.

#### https://doi.org/10.4172/2168-9881.1000105

Lale, N.E.S. & Maina, Y.T. (2003). Influence of extracting solvent on the efficacy of neem (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss) seed oil applied for the control of *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection*, 110(5), 492–498.

Langat, K.B. et al. (2012). Larvicidal action of extracts from *Tithonia diversifolia* against the dengue mosquito *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Journal of Biologically Active Products* from Nature, 2(1), 46–49.

#### https://doi.org/10.1080/22311866.2012.10719107

Lengai, G.M.W. et al. (2020). Phytochemical activity and role of botanical pesticides in pest management for sustainable agricultural crop production. *Scientific African*, 7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sciaf.2019.e00239

Mapa, M.H.M.N. et al. (2017). Efficacy of leaf extracts of invasive *Tithonia diversifolia* against selected fungal pathogens

causing leaf spot diseases. *Proceedings of International Forestry and Environment Symposium*, 21.

#### https://doi.org/10.31357/fesympo.v21i0.3235

Meena, B. L. et al. (2016). Evaluation of different plant powders as seed protectants against rice moth, *Corcyra cephalonica* Stainton. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*, 8(2), 910–918. <u>https://doi.org/10.31018/jans.v8i2.896</u>

Menut, C. et al. (1992). Aromatic plants of tropical central Africa: IX. Chemical composition of flower essential oils of *Tithonia diversifolia* (Hemsl.) A. Gray from Cameroon. *Journal of essential oil research*, 4, 651–653.

#### https://doi.org/10.1080/10412905.1992.9698153

Merculieff, Z. et al. (2014). Phytochemical, antioxidant and antibacterial potential of *Elaeagnus kologa* (Schlecht.) leaf. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine*, 4(9), 687–691. <u>https://doi.org/10.12980/APJTB.4.2014C1104</u>

Morya, K. et al. (2010). Effect of powdered leaves of *Lantana camara*, *Clerodendrum inerme* and *Citrus limon* on the rice moth, *Corcyra cephalonica*. *Bulletin of Insectology*, 63(2), 183–189.

Mwanauta, R.W. et al. (2014). Prospective bioactive compounds from *Vernia amygdalina*, *Lippia javanica*, *Dysphania ambrosioides* and *Tithonia diversifolia* in controlling legume insect pests. *Agriculture Sciences*, 5, 1129–1139.

#### https://doi.org/10.4236/as.2014.512123

Ndakidemi, B. et al. (2016). Impacts of synthetic and botanical pesticides on beneficial insects. *Agricultural Sciences*, 7, 364–372. <u>https://doi.org/10.4236/as.2016.76038</u>

Obiakara, M.C. & Fourcade, Y. (2018). Climatic niche and potential distribution of *Tithonia diversifolia* (Hemsl.) A. Gray in Africa. *PLoS ONE*, 13(9), e0202421.

#### https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0202421

Pantoja-Pulido, K. D. et al. (2020). Insecticidal and cholinesterase activity of dichloromethane extracts of *Tithonia diversifolia* on *Atta cephalotes* worker ants (Formicidae: Myrmicinae). *Insects*, 11(3), 180.

#### https://doi.org/10.3390/insects11030180

Pathak, C.S. & Tiwari, S.K. (2012). Insecticidal action of neem seed (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss) acetone extract against the life-cycle stages of rice-moth, *Corcyra cephalonica* Staint. (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 8(5), 529–536. <u>https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.wjas.2012.8.5.1235</u>

Pathak, C.S. & Tiwari, S.K. (2010). Toxicological effects of neem *Azadirachta indica* A. Juss leaf powder against the ontogeny of *Corcyra cephalonica* (Staint.) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Journal of Biopesticides*, 3(3), 617–621.

Pavela, R. et al. (2016). Oviposition inhibitory activity of the Mexican sunflower *Tithonia diversifolia* (Asteraceae) polar extracts against the two-spotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* (Tetranychidae). *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology*, 101. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmpp.2016.11.002</u>

Pillai, M. G. et al. (2017). Impact of four plant powders on the development of the rice moth, *Corcyra cephalonica* Stainton in rice grain. *International Journal of Recent Scientific Research*, 8(5), 17179–17182. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr</u>

Pitan, O. R. et al. (2015). Laboratory evaluation of insecticidal activities of some botanicals against four insect pests of honey bees (*Apis mellifera* L.). *International Journal of Applied Agricultural and Apicultural Research*, 11(1–2), 172–182.

Séraphin, D.Y. K. et al. (2018). Repellent and toxic of macerât of *Tithonia diversifolia* (Hemsl.) gray (Asteraceae) on Ancistrotermes sp. at the laboratory. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development*, 5(12), 143–147.

Susurluk, H. et al. (2007). Antifeedant activity of some Tanacetum species and bioassay guided isolation of the secondary metabolites of *Tanacetum cadmeum* ssp. *cadmeum* (Compositae). *Industrial Crops and Products*, 26(2), 220–228. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2007.04.002

Tavares, W. et al. (2014). Effects of astilbin from *Dimorphandra mollis* (fabaceae) flowers and brazilian plant extracts on *Sitophilus zeamais* (Coleoptera: curculionidae). *The Florida Entomologist*, 97(3), 892–901.

#### https://doi.org/10.1653/024.097.0347

Verma, V.C. & Pathak, P.H. (2018) Effects of *Azadirachta indica* leaf oil volatiles on immature stages of *Corcyra cephalonica* and characterization of active ingredients by Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry. *International Journal of Engineering Technology Science and Research*, 5(1), 100–106.

Zambare, S. P. et al. (2012). Ovicidal effect of four plant extracts on the eggs of *Corcyra cephalonica* (Stainton). *International Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 2(5), 26–28.

Zhao, G.J. et al. (2012). Chemical constituents from *Tithonia diversifolia* and their chemotaxonomic significance. *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology*, 44, 250–254.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bse.2012.06.019